## Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000560680002-2

Boxes are provided to the right of each question. Only one box should be checked for each question asked. If you have any comments which you would like to make emphasizing the accuracy of the information or with reference to any information not generally covered in this format, please write them on the reverse of this page.

esponse to Tasking		Responses*						
Does information provided satisfy your intelligence collection requirement?		YES	IN PAR	T 134	NO			
Accuracy							•	
Geographical location (terrain, water, river, etc.)		YES	IN PAR	TE	NO			
Large scale man-made objects (docks, silos, buildings, etc.)	D	YES	IN PAR	T 353	NO			
Small scale man-made objects (tanks, computers, antennas, etc.)	D	YES	IN PAR	ר ם	NO	O UNK	KNOWN	
Target ambience (research, production, administration, troop movement, etc.)		YES	IN PAR	r D	NO	O UN.	KNBWN	
Activity (nuclear testing, CBR, SIGINT monitoring, etc.)	D	YES	IN PAR		פא	O		
Personality (Physical, plans, actions, traits, etc.)		YES	IN PAR	ı 💆	NO		ŕ	
Utility							•	
Please check which best describes the utility of the information provided (in view of what is known at this time, understanding that additional information could raise or lower such an interim assessment at a later date)		n	VERY USEFUL					
			USEFUL.					
		<b>33</b>	MARGINAL					
		D	ID NONE					
		CANNOT BE DETERMINED AT THIS TIME						

<sup>\*</sup>YES - indicates a full agreement with what is  $\underline{\text{known}}$  to be fact about the target. NO - indicates a total lack of agreement with what is known to be fact about the target.

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00788R000500680002-2

## Additional tasking

Is additional tasking required? (If yes, please write what that tasking is on the back of the form)

YES D NO

C YES X NO

Did the attached information add to information derived from other intelligence sources?

Did the attached information aid in tasking other intelligence resources by providing targeting information?

TI YES NO

ALBERT J. BARI

(Signed) SIGNATURE

ALBERT J. BARI, GS-13

(Printed) NAME, GRADE

ASST OPS OFFICER, USAOG

(Printed) TITLE, or OFFICE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	and the second s	FINAL PROJECT	EVALUATION FORM 88R000500680002-2		
		·			
1. CUSTOMER OFFICE		ON REQUESTED DATE	· ·		
USADG	1980	MONTH DAY			
3. TARGET COUNTRIES	4. PROJECT N	UMBER	5. SOURCE NUMBER		
IRAN.	2/30	A	NFN 240317		
6. NUMBER REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT	7. REPORT 10	ENTIFICATION NUM	ABERS		
8. REFERENCES		9. REASON FOR E	VALUATION (select one)		
A. REQUIREMENTS (CITE) (ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9)  B. INITIATIVE REPORT	NA		RESPONSE ECTORS REQUEST: CTED_BY ANALYST		
10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (selec	t. one)		ODUCTS TO BENEFIT OF REPORTED INFORMATION		
A. OF MAJOR SIGNTFICANCE  B. OF VALUE  C. OF NO VALUE		D A. BASIO D B. CURRI M C. ESTIO D D. S&T	C INTELLIGENCE ENT INTELLIGENCE MATIVE INTELLIGENCE INTELLIGENCE		
12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO (select one only)	VALUE	1	EQUIREMENT SATISFACTION one only)		
A. TOO FRAGMENTARY  B. OUPLICATIVE  C. UNTIMELY  D. NOT RESPONSIVE TO  TASKING CITED		B. PART	LETELY SATISFIED IALLY SATISFIED SATISFIED AT ALL		
14. NAME OF PRODUCT(S)					
15. COLLECTION GUIDANCE (Mandatory unless 13A, above, is checked)  There definitive impormation would have been I more value.  Named 3 locations or persons would have information a great deal 1 the provided input carried the walfated sincelet lacked stately.  SG1A					

0

AND TON TON